DECODING MILLENNIAL INVESTMENT BEHAVIOR: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF INDIAN STOCK MARKET PARTICIPATION

Ameya Patil, Dr.Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune
Rahul B. Hiremath, Indian Institute of Management, Raipur
Rakesh Yadav, S. P. Mandali's Prin. L. N. Welingkar Institute of Management Development and Research (WeSchool), Mumbai
Namita Shivlal Mane, JSPM University, Pune

ABSTRACT

This study examines the investment behavior of millennials in the Indian stock market, driven by the unique socio-economic and technological contexts shaping this demographic. The research aims to identify and analyze the key factors influencing millennial investment decisions. Employing a quantitative methodology, a structured questionnaire was administered to 180 millennial investors, and Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was employed to uncover underlying constructs. The analysis revealed six critical factors i.e. Risk Tolerance, Financial Literacy, Technological Proficiency, Socio-Economic Factors, Social Influence, and Sustainability, collectively explaining 77.135% of the variance. Key findings indicate that Risk Tolerance and Technological Proficiency are the most significant determinants, highlighting millennials' comfort with high-risk investments and their reliance on digital platforms. These insights provide valuable guidance for financial institutions, policymakers, and educators to develop targeted strategies that enhance millennial market participation and financial literacy.

Keywords: Millennial Investors, Indian Stock Market, Risk Tolerance, Financial Literacy, Technological Proficiency.

INTRODUCTION

The onset of the 21st century has observed significant changes in worldwide economic dynamics, technological progress, and social conduct. In the realm of finance, understanding the investment behavior of various demographic cohorts is essential to forecast economic trends and tailor financial products. Among these cohorts, millennials in India are people born between 1981 and 1996 and they exhibit a unique set of behaviors influenced by unprecedented technological advancements and socio-economic changes. Millennials, also known as Generation Y, make up 27% of the global population and 34% of the Indian population. They have a significant influence on driving consumer demand in the Indian consumer markets (Singh, 2019).

Millennials, being the initial generation to reach adulthood in the current millennium, exhibit financial behaviors that are influenced by a combination of unique elements not experienced by earlier generations. Millennials heavily rely on digital technologies and technological interaction for several aspects of their lives, including the usage of computer or mobile devices, engaging in online activities, socializing, working, maintaining friendships, shopping, and seeking amusement (Calvo-Porral, et al., 2018). Moreover, they have encountered economic volatility, encompassing the worldwide financial crisis of 2008 and

the economic uncertainty triggered by the COVID-19 epidemic. These events have had a profound impact on their approach to saving, investing, and financial planning.

Since millennials have grown up with technology as integral part of their lives, they are considered to be most technically literate and competent generation (Calvo-Porral, et al., 2018). Millennials, in contrast to those who came before them, are more inclined to depend on social proof when it comes to making financial choices. They tend to seek guidance from their peers and online groups before making any judgments. They demonstrate a predilection for transparency, ethical investment, and companies that are in line with their ideals. Moreover, the fear of missing out (FOMO) and the influence of social media can drive millennials towards more aggressive and speculative investments (Lamba, 2021).

The millennial generation currently represents a significant portion of the population, and their considerable buying power has made them an appealing demographic for numerous consumer businesses (Moreno, et al., 2017). Millennials are often depicted as having a greater inclination for risk-taking in comparison to earlier generations. This might be ascribed to their extended investment time frame, which enables them to recuperate from any losses gradually. Nevertheless, the level of willingness to take risks is not consistent within the group and might differ depending on characteristics such as income levels, financial literacy, and previous encounters with economic downturns. Cultural influences and societal expectations also influence the investment preferences of Indian millennials. Although traditional investment options such as gold and real estate remain attractive, there is a rising inclination towards shares, mutual funds, and other market-linked financial instruments (Varma & Jana, 2024).

At this backdrop, the present research study is intended to analyze the investment behavior of millennial investors in the Indian stock market, focusing on the factors influencing their investment decisions. By examining millennial investment behavior, this study aims to uncover unique characteristics and challenges, guiding efforts to enhance their market participation and financial literacy.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Investment behavior in the stock market has been extensively studied due to its significant role in influencing market dynamics and individual financial outcomes. This literature review examines the various factors affecting individual investors' behavior in the stock market, drawing on theoretical foundations, psychological biases, socioeconomic influences, market conditions, and empirical findings. Understanding these factors provides a nuanced perspective on how individuals make investment decisions and the implications for financial market stability and investor education.

The Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), introduced by Eugene Fama, posits that stock prices fully reflect all available information, making it impossible for investors to consistently achieve higher returns without assuming additional risk (Fama, 1970). According to EMH, markets are efficient, and stock prices follow a random walk, thereby negating the possibility of arbitrage opportunities based on publicly available information. However, this theory has faced substantial criticism, particularly from proponents of behavioral finance who argue that psychological factors often lead investors to act irrationally (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).

Behavioral finance, which integrates insights from psychology into finance, has significantly expanded our understanding of individual investment behavior (Thaler, 1999). Kahneman and Tversky (1979) in their Prospect Theory suggests that the investors value gains and losses differently, with losses having a more significant emotional impact than equivalent gains. This concept, known as loss aversion, challenges the assumption of rational

behavior underpinning EMH (Tversky & Kahneman, 1979). Overconfidence is another critical concept in behavioral finance, where investors overestimate their knowledge and predictive abilities, leading to excessive trading and risk-taking (Odean, 1998). Herd behavior, where investors follow the crowd rather than making independent decisions, can lead to market bubbles and crashes (Shiller, 2000). These behavioral biases provide a framework for understanding deviations from rational investment behavior and their impact on market dynamics.

Investment behavior is influenced by a complex interplay of psychological biases, socioeconomic factors, and market conditions. Loss aversion is a significant psychological bias where investors exhibit a stronger preference for avoiding losses over acquiring equivalent gains (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). This bias can lead to the disposition effect, where investors hold losing stocks too long and sell winning stocks too soon (Shefrin & Statman, 1985). Anchoring occurs when investors rely too heavily on specific reference points, such as the purchase price of a stock, which may not be relevant to current market conditions (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). Mental accounting refers to the tendency of individuals to categorize and treat money differently depending on its source or intended use, influencing investment decisions and risk perceptions (Thaler, 1985).

Demographic variables such as age, gender, income, and education level significantly influence investment behavior. Younger investors tend to exhibit higher risk tolerance compared to older investors (Gibson, et al., 2013). Gender differences also play a role, with studies showing that men are generally more risk-tolerant and trade more frequently than women (Barber & Odean, 2001). Cultural influences affect investment behavior, as cultural norms and values shape attitudes towards risk and investment horizons (Hofstede, 1980).

Market sentiment, reflecting the collective mood of investors, can drive market trends and lead to overreactions (Brown & Cliff, 2004). Positive sentiment can result in overvaluation of stocks, while negative sentiment can lead to undervaluation. Information asymmetry, where some investors have access to more or better information than others, can create advantages and influence market behavior (Akerlof, 1970). This asymmetry often leads to situations where informed traders can exploit the less informed, impacting market efficiency (Grossman & Stiglitz, 1980).

Empirical research provides valuable insights into the actual behavior of individual investors in the stock market. Barber and Odean (2001) conducted a seminal study on individual investor behavior, revealing that individual investors often underperform the market due to excessive trading driven by overconfidence. Their research showed that men trade 45% more than women, leading to reduced returns. Statman (2004) explored the impact of emotions on investment decisions, emphasizing the role of regret and pride in trading behavior. Investors often make suboptimal decisions to avoid regret or to experience pride, leading to deviations from rational investment strategies.

Several empirical studies have identified market anomalies that challenge the EMH. Fama and French (1992) documented the size effect and the value effect, showing that small-cap stocks and value stocks tend to outperform large-cap and growth stocks, respectively. These anomalies suggest that certain stock characteristics can predict returns, contradicting the notion of market efficiency. Additionally, De Bondt and Thaler (1985) found evidence of mean reversion in stock prices, where stocks that have performed poorly tend to outperform in the future and vice versa.

Understanding the psychological and market factors influencing investment behavior has significant implications for individual investors and policymakers. Investors can improve their decision-making by being aware of their psychological biases. Recognizing tendencies such as loss aversion and overconfidence can help investors adopt more disciplined and

rational investment strategies (Kahneman & Riepe, 1998). Diversification and long-term planning are effective methods to mitigate the impact of biases and reduce risk. Automated investment platforms, or robo-advisors, can also help reduce the influence of emotional decision-making by providing algorithm-based recommendations (Mullainathan & Thaler, 2000).

Policymakers can enhance market efficiency by improving transparency and reducing information asymmetry. Implementing regulations that ensure timely and accurate dissemination of information can help level the playing field for all investors (Healy & Palepu, 2001). Investor education programs are crucial in helping individuals recognize and mitigate the effects of cognitive biases. Educating investors about the principles of behavioral finance and the importance of rational decision-making can lead to more stable and efficient markets (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2007).

Investment behavior in the stock market is influenced by a complex interplay of psychological, socioeconomic, and market factors. Traditional finance theories like the EMH provide a foundational understanding, while behavioral finance offers deeper insights into the irrational aspects of investor behavior. Empirical studies underscore the importance of addressing psychological biases and market anomalies to enhance investment outcomes. For investors, adopting a balanced approach that incorporates both rational analysis and an awareness of cognitive biases is essential for achieving long-term success. Policymakers and educators play a crucial role in promoting efficient and stable financial markets through transparency and investor education.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research study adopts a quantitative research approach to analyze the investment behavior of millennial investors in the Indian stock market, focusing on the factors influencing their investment decisions.

The target population for this study comprises millennials, defined as individuals born between 1981 and 1996, who actively participate in the Indian stock market. A convenience sampling technique is employed to select participants with prior investment experience. A sample size of 180 millennial investors is targeted to ensure the reliability and validity of the statistical analysis.

A structured questionnaire is designed and administered to capture comprehensive data on the investment behavior of millennials. Twenty two (22) variables / items considered for the research study have been identified from the existing literature in the area of research study. Each item is measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 'strongly disagree' to '*strongly agree*'.

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) is utilized to analyze the collected data and identify underlying constructs that influence millennial investment behavior. EFA is suitable for this study as it helps in uncovering the latent structure of a large set of variables, thereby reducing them to a smaller number of interpretable factors. The adequacy of the sample size is assessed using the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is employed as the extraction method to identify the initial factor structure. The number of factors to be retained is determined based on eigenvalues greater than 1 and the scree plot. An orthogonal rotation method, such as Varimax, is applied to achieve a simpler and more interpretable factor structure. The rotated factor matrix is examined to identify variables that load significantly on each factor. These factors are interpreted and labeled based on the variables that load highly on them. By employing a structured questionnaire and EFA, this research aims to provide valuable

insights into the factors influencing millennial investment behavior in the Indian stock market.

DATA ANALYSIS

Exploratory Factor Analysis

The present research study employs exploratory factor analysis in order to undertake data analysis in an effective manner (Gaurav & Dheer, 2018; Gaurav, et al., 2023). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) value was 0.726, indicating statistical significance. The results of Bartlett's Test of Sphericity provided significant evidence for employing exploratory factor analysis to identify the factors influencing investment decisions of millennials in Indian stock market (Table 1).

Table 1 KMO AND BARTLETT'S TEST		
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.726
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	3022.638
	df	231
	Sig.	<.001

Source: Developed by Authors

The analysis considered all 22 variables / items related to the various aspects of millennials investment in Indian stock market. With the help of SPSS 24.0, Principal component analysis along with varimax rotation was employed on the collected data. Only items with a factor loading of 0.4 or higher were considered for analysis. The exploratory factor analysis yielded six factors that are supposed to influence investment decisions of millennials in Indian stock market explaining 77.135% of variance (Table 2). Six factors viz. Risk Tolerance, Financial Literacy, Technological Proficiency, Socio Economic Factors, Social Influence and sustainability were extracted with the help of data analysis.

Table 2 SUMMARY OF EXPLORATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS			
Factor No & Name	Variables	Factor Loading	Total Variance Explained
1. Risk Tolerance	Comfort with high-risk, high-reward opportunities Sensitivity to market fluctuations Influence of previous gains and losses Clarity of long-term vs. short-term investment goals Tolerance for uncertain outcomes Impact of stable employment and regular income Inclination to spread investments across asset classes Availability of savings or emergency funds Trust and reliance on professional financial advice Individual traits such as optimism and risk aversion	.831 .806 .768 .736 .541 .751 .832 .704 .790 .765	28.982%
2. Financial Literacy	Knowledge of various financial products Understanding of risk and return Ability to interpret financial news and	.759 .723 .699	12.529%

	reports Familiarity with investment tools and platforms	.718	
3. Technological Proficiency	Usage of digital investment platforms Comfort with online trading and mobile apps Access to market information through digital channels	.627 .692 .807	11.910%
4. Socio Economic Factors	Income levels and disposable income Employment stability and job security	.855 .870	9.502%
5. Social Influence	Peer and family recommendations Influence of social media and investment forums	.777 .814	7.938%
6. Sustainability	Support for companies with sustainable initiatives	.896	6.274%
Total Variance Explained			**77.135%**

Source: Developed by Authors

The description of the factors influencing investment decisions of millennials in Indian stock market is given below;

Risk Tolerance

Risk tolerance explains 28.982% of the variance and includes ten items loading on the factor. The highest loading construct is comfort with high-risk, high-reward opportunities (0.831), emphasizing millennials' inclination towards potentially lucrative investments despite high risks. The lowest loading construct is tolerance for uncertain outcomes (0.541), indicating that while some millennials are comfortable with ambiguity, this trait is less dominant compared to their pursuit of high returns. Other relevant constructs include sensitivity to market fluctuations, influence of previous gains and losses, clarity of long-term versus short-term investment goals, impact of stable employment and regular income, inclination to diversify investments, availability of emergency funds, trust in professional financial advice, and individual traits such as optimism and risk aversion.

Financial Literacy

Financial literacy accounts for 12.529% of the variance with four items loading on the factor. The highest loading construct is knowledge of various financial products (0.759), highlighting the critical importance of understanding different investment options. The lowest loading construct is the ability to interpret financial news and reports (0.699), suggesting that while interpreting financial news is important, it has slightly less impact on financial literacy compared to product knowledge. Other relevant constructs include understanding risk and return, and familiarity with investment tools and platforms.

Technological Proficiency

Technological proficiency explains 11.910% of the variance and has three items loading on the factor. The highest loading construct is access to market information through digital channels (0.807), emphasizing the role of real-time market information in informed investment decisions. The lowest loading construct is usage of digital investment platforms (0.627), indicating that using digital platforms, while crucial, is slightly less influential compared to accessing market information. Comfort with online trading and mobile apps also significantly contributes to this factor.

Socio-Economic Factors

Socio-economic factors contribute to 9.502% of the variance with two items loading on the factor. The highest loading construct is employment stability and job security (0.870), highlighting the importance of job security in enabling confident investments. The lowest loading construct is income levels and disposable income (0.855), which, while crucial, plays a slightly less significant role compared to stable employment.

Social Influence

Social influence accounts for 7.938% of the variance and includes two items loading on the factor. The highest loading construct is the influence of social media and investment forums (0.814), indicating the heavy reliance on digital communities for investment decisions. The lowest loading construct is peer and family recommendations (0.777), showing that traditional advice is slightly less impactful compared to digital influence.

Sustainability

Sustainability explains 6.274% of the variance with one item loading on the factor. The construct support for companies with sustainable initiatives has a high loading of (.896), underscoring the significant influence of ethical and responsible investment choices among millennials.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing millennial investment behavior in the Indian stock market, utilizing Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to identify six key determinants: Risk Tolerance, Financial Literacy, Technological Proficiency, Socio-Economic Factors, Social Influence, and Sustainability. These factors collectively explain 77.135% of the variance, underscoring their significance.

Risk Tolerance emerged as the most influential factor, highlighting millennials' comfort with high-risk, high-reward opportunities and sensitivity to market fluctuations. Financial Literacy emphasizes the need for understanding financial products and interpreting financial information, crucial for informed decision-making. Technological Proficiency underscores the role of digital platforms and real-time market information in modern investing, facilitating dynamic engagement with the stock market. Socio-Economic Factors, including income levels and job stability, significantly impact investment capacity, while Social Influence reveals the strong effect of peer recommendations and social media on investment choices. Sustainability reflects millennials' preference for investing in companies with ethical and responsible initiatives.

The insights from this research provide valuable guidance for financial institutions, policymakers, and educators. Enhancing financial literacy, leveraging technology, and addressing socio-economic challenges can improve millennial participation in the stock market. Understanding social influences and the importance of sustainability can help develop targeted strategies to engage and support millennial investors, fostering a more inclusive and informed investment environment.

REFERENCES

Akerlof, G. A. (1970). The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 84*(3), 488-500.

Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys will be boys: Gender, overconfidence, and common stock investment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116*(1), 261-292.

Brown, G. W., & Cliff, M. T. (2004). Investor sentiment and the near-term stock market. *Journal of Empirical Finance, 11*(1), 1-27.

Calvo-Porral, C., Pesqueira-Sanchez, R., & Medín, A. F. (2018). A Clustered-Based Categorization of Millennials in their Technology Behavior. *International Journal of Human-computer Interaction*, *35*(3), 231–239.

De Bondt, W. F., & Thaler, R. (1985). Does the stock market overreact? *Journal of Finance, 40*(3), 793-805.

Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *Journal of Finance, 25*(2), 383-417.

Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1992). The cross-section of expected stock returns. *Journal of Finance, 47*(2), 427-465.

Gaurav, K., & Dheer, S. (2018). Social Media Usage at Workplace-An Empirical Investigation. *Sumedha Journal of Management, 7*(1), 144-158.

Gaurav, K., Ray, A. S., & Pradhan, A. (2023). Investment Behavior of Corporate Professionals Towards Mutual Funds in India. *International Journal of Accounting & Finance Review*, *14*(1), 30-39.

Gibson, R., Michayluk, D., & Van de Venter, G. (2013). Financial risk tolerance: An analysis of unexplored factors. *Financial Services Review, 22*(1), 23-50.

Grossman, S. J., & Stiglitz, J. E. (1980). On the impossibility of informationally efficient markets. *American Economic Review, 70*(3), 393-408.

Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31*(1-3), 405-440.

Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values. *Sage Publications*.

Kahneman, D., & Riepe, M. W. (1998). Aspects of investor psychology. *Journal of Portfolio Management, 24*(4), 52-65.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263-291.

Lamba, S. (2021). FOMO: Marketing to Millennials (1st ed.). *Notion Press*.

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2007). Financial literacy and retirement preparedness: Evidence and implications for financial education. *Business Economics, 42*(1), 35-44.

Moreno, F. M., Lafuente, J. G., Carreón, F. V., & Moreno, S. M. (2017). The Characterization of the Millennials and Their Buying Behavior. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, *9*(5), 135–144.

Mullainathan, S., & Thaler, R. H. (2000). Behavioral economics. *National Bureau of Economic Research*.

Odean, T. (1998). Volume, volatility, price, and profit when all traders are above average. *Journal of Finance, 53*(6), 1887-1934.

Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (1985). The disposition to sell winners too early and ride losers too long: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Finance, 40*(3), 777-790.

Shiller, R. J. (2000). Irrational exuberance. *Princeton University Press.*

Singh, R. (2019). Why brands need to pay attention to the needs of the millennials and create appropriate marketing strategies? *ETBrandEquity.com*.

Statman, M. (2004). The diversification puzzle. *Financial Analysts Journal, 60*(4), 44-53.

Thaler, R. H. (1985). Mental accounting and consumer choice. *Marketing Science, 4*(3), 199-214.

Thaler, R. H. (1999). The end of behavioral finance. *Financial Analysts Journal, 55*(6), 12-17.

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science, 185*(4157), 1124-1131.

Varma, P., & Jana, C. (2024). Retail Investors' Investment Patterns in Mutual Funds. *Bimaquest*, *24*(1), 68–94.